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**ABSTRACT**

The objective of this study was to identify the effect of *Trichoderma viride* and *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* inoculant on chemical compositions, digestibility, and theobromine content of fermented cocoa pod. The experiment consisted of four treatments, namely cocoa pods without fermentation as control (R0); fermentation of cocoa pods with inoculant *T. viride* (R1); fermentation of cocoa pods with inoculant *S. cerevisiae* (R2); and fermentation of cocoa pods with inoculant *T. viride* and *S. cerevisiae* mixed culture (R3). Each treatment had three replications, cocoa pod was fermented for 10 days. Variables observed were: chemical compositions i.e. dry matter (DM), organic matter (OM), crude protein (CP), ether extract (EE), crude fiber (CF), nitrogen free extract (NFE), fiber fraction (neutral detergent fiber and acid detergent fiber), *in vitro* digestibility, and theobromine content. Data were analysed by one-way analysis of variance and followed by Duncan’s new multiple range test (DMRT), if there were any significant differences. Results showed the inoculum affected (P<0.05) the chemical composition, fiber fraction and *in vitro* digestibility. However, theobromine was not detected neither in cocoa pod without fermentation nor in fermentation. Compared to the control group, inoculation with *T. viride* and *S. cerevisiae* mixed culture (P<0.05) resulted in higher DM content (92.78 vs 89.72% respectively), higher CP (7.43 vs 5.63% respectively), higher NDF (79.41 vs 61.18% respectively), higher ADF (73.04 vs 47.94% respectively), but was not significantly different on DM and OM digestibility (21.22 vs 22.24% and 22.67 vs 24.31% respectively) than cocoa pod without fermentation. It is concluded that inoculant *T. viride* and *S. cerevisiae* mixed culture increased CP content, but had no effect on *in vitro* digestibility.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Limited availability of forage, force people to seek for other alternative feeds that could replace a part or total forages. Plantation wastes to be one option in dealing with the issue of the lack of forage availability in the dry season, but waste products of plantation could also pollute the environment. According to Ditjen Perkebunan (2012), there were approximately 1,745,789 ha of cocoa plantation in 2011.

Cocoa pod contain various minerals. Toharmat et al. (2006), reported that feeding cocoa pod to Etawa goat as a fiber source also provide, Mg and Zn in a higher content than those provided by grass, bark coffee husk, and rice straw. Wahyuni et al. (2008) reported that fermented cocoa pod can be used as animal feed in growing goats up to the level of 40%. Puastuti et al. (2008) reported that a ration based cocoa pod without ammoniation but with organic Zn supplementation resulted in weight gain equivalent to the daily ration of grass-based, caused by sufficient consumption rate and nutrient digestibility, N retention and good fermentation characteristics. Ginting (2004) reported that pod husks of more than 15% in the ration can reduce performances of goats.

Cocoa plantation wastes such as pod husks are commonly just piled up in the field after being harvested. This practice can cause discomfort odor or emerging which cause...
disease to the cocoa plants. Pod husks contained anti nutritive substances such as theobromine that limits its level of inclusion in the diets. Efforts to improve the nutritive quality of the cocoa pod including, drying, addition of urea (ammoniation), and fermentation. The objectives of this study was to investigate the effect of fermenting cocoa pod using different types of inoculant on the chemical composition, digestibility, and theobromine content.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experiment was performed at the Laboratory Animal Feed Technology, Laboratory Biochemistry of Nutrition, Feed Animal Nutrition Department, Faculty of Animal Science, Gadjah Mada University.

Instruments used included scales capacity 10 kg with sensitivity of 0.1 kg, sealer and vacuum, grinder with sieve diameter’s 1 mm, analytic scales with sensitivity of 0.0001 g, pH meter, digital thermometer, oven, lactic analysis tools, ammonia rumen tools, in vitro tools, gas chromatography tools, and high performance liquid chromatography Knauer type UV 6000 LP. Materials used included cocoa pod, inoculant of L. plantarum, inoculant of S. cerevisiae, cassava meal, water, chemicals for proximate analysis, ruminal fermentation, theobromine analysis, and in vitro digestibility.

Substrate preparation, fermentation, and treatments

Fresh cocoa pod was collected from traditional farming Gunung Kidul Regency. Cocoa pod was cut to size of 1×5 cm and sun-dried for 10 hours to decrease the water content. Two species of fungal were used as inoculant, namely T. viride and S. cerevisiae.

Fermentation was conducted at laboratory scale in room that was sterile. The room was watered with desinfecan, the tools were sterilised with alcohol (90%). Cocoa pod was fermented in fermenter bag (as silo), and inoculated with T. viride and S.cerevisiae was performed at 1% dry matter, by mixing and spreading the inoculant evenly. Silo was made to be aerobic by making a hole. The length of fermentation was set at 10 days at room temperature (Ginting & Krisnan 2006).

Three processing treatments and one control treatment were as follows: R0: Unfermented cocoa; R1: Cocoa pod fermented using T. viride; R2: Cocoa pod fermented using S. cerevisiae; and R3: Cocoa pod fermented using T. viride and S. cerevisiae mixture.

Chemical analysis

Samples of dried silages were analyzed for chemical compositions using proximate analyses (AOAC 2005). Neutral Detergent Fiber (NDF) and Acid Detergent Fiber (ADF) analyses were performed according to the procedures of Van Soest & Robertson (1985). In vitro digestibility study was performed according to the procedures of Tilley & Terry (1963) at Biochemical Nutrition Laboratory, of Animal Science Faculty, the Gadjah Mada University. Theobromine analysis was conducted as recommended by European Food Safety Authority using HPLC (AOAC 2005).

Data of chemical composition, fiber fraction, and in vitro digestibility were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) and followed by Duncan’s new multiple range test (DMRT) if there were any significant differences (Steel & Torrie 1993). All of statistic calculation was performed using software of Statistical Product and Service Solution version 16.0 (Soleh 2005).
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Proximate and fiber fraction composition of fermented cocoa pod

The fermented cocoa pods have higher dry matter content than that of the unfermented cocoa pod. The increased dry matter contents of the fermented cocoa pod might be caused by any growth of fungal in cocoa pod. The growth of fungi was characteristically slower than that of bacterial *T. viride* secreted cellulose at eight days, which can be influenced dry matter content. Suparjo et al. (2009) reported that fungi would utilized the organic matter from substrate and caused losses in dry matter. Mulato & Widyatomo (2003) showed that time of fermentation can affect the dry matter content of substrates. The proximate compositions were shown in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Chemical composition of unfermented cocoa pod (R0), fermented cocoa pod with *T. Viride* (R1), *S. cerevisiae* (R2), *T. Viride* and *S. cerevisiae* mixed culture (R3) inoculant

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>DM</th>
<th>OM</th>
<th>CP</th>
<th>EE</th>
<th>CF</th>
<th>NFE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>89.72a</td>
<td>90.55</td>
<td>5.63a</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>31.08a</td>
<td>51.93d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>91.94b</td>
<td>85.30</td>
<td>9.57b</td>
<td>2.41</td>
<td>35.99c</td>
<td>37.11b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>92.70c</td>
<td>84.07</td>
<td>8.50c</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>31.83b</td>
<td>41.12c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>92.78d</td>
<td>84.09</td>
<td>7.43d</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>40.03d</td>
<td>34.00a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*ab*c Different superscripts at the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05)

Crude protein content was significantly affected by the type of innoculants. Inoculation with *T. viride* had highest effect on crude protein level. Ginting & Krisnan (2006), showed that fermentation using *Trichoderma* sp. as an inoculant increased the crude protein content of the substrates. In the current experiment the crude protein content was lower when treated with *T. viride* and *S. cerevisiae* mixed culture, which could be due to any negative interactions between both of inoculant.

Fungal inoculation significantly increased crude fiber contents of the fermented cocoa pods. This higher crude fiber content could be caused by increased cell wall, of the hypha of fungal. Utomo (2001), reported that crude fiber is organic matter which was divided to cellulosa, hemicellulosa, and lignin. All of these components were found in hypha of fungi. Cocoa pods fermentation with *T. viride* and *S. cerevisiae* mixed culture have higher free extract nitrogen content. During the stationary phase, the *T. viride* used the substrate free extract nitrogen before degrading the cellulose. Kamara et al. (2008) showed that glucose at early phase was used by *T. viride* for growing and then started to degrade of fiber. The fiber fractions of substrates were presented in Table 2.

Neutral detergent fiber and ADF content were significantly higher in the fermented cocoa pod compared to the unfermented ones. Fungi types affected the NDF and ADF content of fermented cocoa pod. Cell wall increased during the prolonged time of fermentation. This result differed to that reported by Alemawor et al. (2009), who reported that cocoa pods fermented with *Aspergillus niger* have lower cell wall contents.

In *vitro* digestibility of cocoa pod fermentation

The effects of fermentation and type of inoculants on the *in vitro* digestibility were showed in Table 3. *In vitro* digestibility of substrate dry matter and organic matter was significantly affected by fermentation. Unfermented cocoa pod have higher DM and OM digestibility than those fermented with *T. viride*, but were not significantly different to than...
those of fermented cocoa pods using inoculant of \textit{T. viride} and \textit{S. cerevisiae} mixed culture. Utomo (2001), reported that ADF is hardly to be degraded so that it could become an inhibitor in feed. Yunus (1997) reported that factors that affect the in vitro digestibility are composition of feed, ruminal fluid temperature, time of incubation and analysis method.

Table 2. Fiber fraction content of fermented and unfermented cocoa pod

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Neutral detergent fiber</th>
<th>Acid detergent fiber</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>61.18(^a)</td>
<td>47.94(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>74.66(^b)</td>
<td>67.32(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>79.36(^c)</td>
<td>73.93(^c)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>79.41(^c)</td>
<td>73.04(^c)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a,b,c}\)Different supercripts at the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05); R0: Unfermented cocoa pod; R1: Fermented cocoa pod were inoculated \textit{T. viride}; R2: Inoculated \textit{S. cerevisiae}; R3: Inoculated \textit{T. viride} and \textit{S. cerevisiae} mixed culture

Table 3. \textit{In vitro} digestibility of fermented and unfermented cocoa pod

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>DM digestibility (%)</th>
<th>OM digestibility (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>22.24(^b)</td>
<td>24.31(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>10.69(^a)</td>
<td>12.60(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>11.72(^a)</td>
<td>13.57(^a)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>21.23(^ab)</td>
<td>22.68(^ab)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a,b,c}\)Different supercripts at the same column indicate significant difference (P<0.05); R0: Unfermented cocoa pod; R1: Fermented cocoa pod were inoculated \textit{T. viride}; R2: Inoculated \textit{S. cerevisiae}; R3: Inoculated \textit{T. viride} and \textit{S. cerevisiae} mixed culture

The theobromine content of fermented and unfermented cocoa pods presented in Table 4. Theobromine content of unfermented and fermented cocoa pods were not detected by HPLC. This was caused by the pre-preparation procedures including sun dried for 10 hours, this process might have reduced theobromine content. Mulato & Widyatomo (2003), showed that dried and boiled can decrease theobromine content.

Table 4. Theobromine content of fermented and unfermented cocoa pod

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Theobromine content (ppm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R0</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R1</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R2</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R3</td>
<td>&lt;0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

R0: Unfermented cocoa pod; R1: Fermented cocoa pod were inoculated \textit{T. viride}; R2: Inoculated \textit{S. cerevisiae}; R3: Inoculated \textit{T. viride} and \textit{S. cerevisiae} mixed culture

CONCLUSION

It is concluded that inoculation with \textit{T. viride} and \textit{S. cerevisiae} mixed cultures in fermenting cocoa pods affected the chemical composition and fiber fraction. However it did not affect the \textit{in vitro} DM and OM digestibility of cocoa pod. The theobromine content of the fermented and unfermented was not detected in this study.
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